## PROBLEM DOMAIN

#### **Groundwater Problems**

U

leanup	Challenges
	DNAPL

#### Groundwater Sites

Legacy sites
Nuclear/Radioactive sites
(On NPL)
Drycleaners
Successful sites
5-yr review sites
"Complex" sites
Exception cases
Site-specific problems

Total number codes

221

i.e., terms and categories

# **General, Dominant Problems**

V

Cost/Economic

## Environmental (Not GR) Hydrofracking Climate change Historical practices Sustainability (Geology) Rivers Sediments

economic drivers

discounting

valuation

cost/benefit

funding

(efficiency) willingness to pay

property value/sales

Valuing natural resourses budget

# **GR Regulatory Context** W Environmental regulators EPA NYDEC NYDOH

Regulatory Programs
Brownfields
Superfund
CERCLA
RCRA
State programs

Supporting	Entities
	NDC

INC	
ITRC	
SERDP/DOE	
University Consortium	

## Social Context Х

#### Community

Organizational Policy

Personal

Relational
by Scale
by Role
Interpersonal relationships

# **Restoration Processes** Y Groundwater Remediation Investigation Cleanup process Management Maintenance Monitoring Modeling

GR treatment approaches
Excavation
Containment
Pump and treat
Source removal
Thermal methods
In-situ treatment
Soil Vapor Extraction (SVE)

Alternative approaches & tech
Adaptive management
Combined remedies
Treatment trains
Green remediation
Institutional controls
Groundwater reclassification
Risk-based corrective action
Wellhead treatment
MNA
LTM
Permeable reactive barrier (PRB)
(Degradation)
(Dechlorinization)

## SOCIO-INSTITUTIONAL CONTEXT

# NSF INSPIRE Groundwater Restoration Interview Code Frame--Version 2.4.4 Dissertation

## PROCESSES

Related Processes
Z
Legal/Regulatory
Drinking water standards/ MCLs
Technical Impracticability (TI)
Common law
Polluter pays
Litigation
ROD

tech
nent
ies
S
on
rols
fication
action

C	orporate economics
	Liability
	Stockholders
	Tax credits
	Return on investment (ROI)

#### Deliberative process

	Revisiting sites
	Reevaluation

Community Processes ۸۸

AA		
ommunity Engagement		
Outreach		
Public participation		
Public meetings		
Advocacy work		
TAG grants		
Citizen science		

ommunity Action		
Activism		
Cooperation		
Grassroots organizing		
Coalition		
Task force		
NIMBY		

Value Engagement Perspective
AB
Objects of Concern
Water as a drinking source
People affected
Site affected
Culture
Character
Caring
Social benefits
Health
Modes of Value Engagement
Motivation
Communication
Context
Trust
Apathy
Conflict
Weighing values
Values

#### by People

AC
e Players
Regulators
Responsible party
Project managers
Consultants
Citizens
Politicians
Community
Activists
Corporate/Industry
Military
States
titudes

Attitudes
Drivers
Concerns
Long term value
Motivation
Pessimism
Community sentiment
Health perception
EPA rigidity/flexibility
Technological optimism
Administrative rationalism

by Big relevant concepts
AD
GR Concepts
Feasibiliy
Adaptabiliy
Diminishing returns
Success
Cleanup
Closure
UU/UE
Knowledge/Education
Citizen science

Citizen science
Scientific literacy
Causation/Proof
Stakeholders
Research
learning from mistakes
competency
experience

Political		
	Regulatory juris	
	Federalis	
	State/fede	
	State/loc	
	US/Canada diff	

# VALUE ENGAGEMENT

#### FRAMING

#### cepts

by Social Issues

у	
turns	
	l

## AE Social Issues Doverty

Poverty	
Racism	
Social class	
Social structure	
Gender	
Diversity	
Public health	
Environmental justice	

## by Discursive tools

AF

Framing Tools		

Risk	
	Risk Analysis
	Conceptions of risk
	Perception of risk
	Uncertainty
	Risk causation
	Risk Communication

## ORAL HISTORY

Interview Dynamics Perspective		
AG		
Typical Q/A Segments		
NSF/ LTM "Spiel"		
Interviewee background		
Career history		
Exemplary sites		

Story domain		
Historical context		
Site history		
Anecdotes		
Success stories		
Lessons learned		

Method/Process Issues

Meta evaluation

сy	
5	
diction	

diction		
า		
al		

al

fferences